FRAMEWORK AND REGULATIONS FOR HIGHER NATIONAL AWARDS

Introduction

The following paragraphs provide assessment regulations for BTEC Higher National

awards delivered by the University of Suffolk Partner Colleges and awarded by Pearson. The

two awards covered by these regulations are:

Higher National Certificate

Higher National Diploma

2. All Pearson BTEC Higher National courses will be delivered and assessed in accordance

with the guidance and regulations set out by Pearson and published on their website

(https://qualifications.pearson.com/en/qualifications/btec-higher-nationals/about/quality-

assurance-process.html). Key documents to refer to include the BTEC Higher Nationals Centre

Guide to Quality Assurance and Assessment and the Specification for each named award.

3. Courses are managed in accordance with the Management of Academic Provision

Framework, which also defines the role and responsibilities of course (programme) leaders and

module (unit) leaders.

4. Course delivery and planning should be undertaken in accordance with the Learning,

Teaching and Assessment Framework.

5. Where work-based or placement learning is an integral part of a course, and unless

otherwise stated in the course documentation, the University of Suffolk shall not be responsible

for securing a work placement or work-related experience for students. Such arrangements

should operate in accordance with the Work-Based and Placement Learning Framework.

6. All decisions regarding the ratification of unit grades, resubmission and retake

opportunities, and recommendations for the final award as set out in these regulations are made

by the relevant assessment board, constituted in accordance with the Assessment Board Policy.

Schedules for assessment boards will be published to students along with dates for results

publication.

7. These regulations apply to all Higher National courses offered by the University of Suffolk

Partner Colleges. Any exceptional exemptions or variations to these regulations for individual

courses (for example to meet the requirements of Professional, Statutory or Regulatory Bodies

(PSRBs) in relation to assessment) are subject to approval by the Quality Committee, via the

Version: 2.1 (August 2021)

submission of a variation request form. A central record of all approved variations is maintained by Registry Services and published as an annex to these regulations.

Registration

8. All students enrolled on a BTEC Higher National Certificate (HNC) or Higher National Diploma (HND) course which is delivered by a University of Suffolk Partner College will be registered by the college for the relevant award with Pearson.

Unit selection

- 9. Within BTEC Higher National awards, course structures are specified in terms of units. The units that can be taken to achieve each award are set out in the relevant Pearson BTEC Programme Specification.
- 10. The units that will be offered to the University of Suffolk Partner College students will be specified within the student course handbook and the Definitive Record for that course.
- 11. Normally, a student who is registered for a unit and wishes to transfer to another unit may do so at any time up to the end of the second week of the commencement of delivery of the unit, subject to the agreement of the unit leaders concerned and provided that the alternative unit forms part of the relevant Programme Specification. A student who is registered for a unit and completes a unit withdrawal form, with appropriate approval, by the end of week two of delivery will be deemed not to have attempted the unit for the purposes of this regulatory framework. Students who fail to complete a unit withdrawal form within this timescale will remain registered on the unit. In this case, failure to submit coursework assessments or to sit examinations will normally constitute failure in the unit, unless extenuating circumstances are approved in accordance with the *Extenuating Circumstances Policy*.

Credit requirements for achievement of awards

12. Specific credit requirements for each award are set out in the relevant Pearson BTEC Programme Specification. The minimum amount and level of credit that must be successfully achieved for an award to be granted is outlined below.

Award	Credit requirement
Higher National Certificate (HNC)	To have completed study of 120 credits at Level 4and to have achieved at least a pass in 105 credits
Higher National Diploma (HND)	To have completed study of 120 credits at Level 4 and 120 credits at Level 5, and to have achieved at

Framework and Regulations for Higher National Awards Version: 2.1 (August 2021) Owner: Academic Registrar

	least a pass in 105 credits at each level.
--	--

In addition to meeting these credit requirements, students are required to pass all units designated as 'Mandatory Core' within the relevant Programme Specification in order to be eligible for the award.

13. Students must not accumulate credit in excess of that required to achieve their intended award, except where required as a consequence of a course change or similar circumstance approved by the Course Leader.

Assessment of individual units

- 14. Assessment shall be undertaken in accordance with Pearson expectations, including the use of Pearson-set assignments as specified for each individual course. Course teams should also operate in accordance with the University's Learning, Teaching and Assessment Framework and related policies (including Academic Appeals, Academic Misconduct, Assessment Board, Assessment Moderation, Extenuating Circumstances, Preparation and Conduct of Examinations, and Recognition of Prior Learning (RPL)) which shall be amended from time to time.
- 15. The methods of assessment will be in accordance with demonstrating the achievement of all intended learning outcomes for a unit. Each unit shall normally be assessed by one of the following methods:
- (a) wholly by coursework
- (b) wholly by examination
- (c) by project or by dissertation or by research activity
- (d) by combinations of the above.

Grading of units

16. Each unit will be awarded an overall grade as outlined in table 1 below.

Table 1: Unit Grading for Higher National Awards

Grade	Description
D	Distinction
M	Merit
Р	Pass
Refer	Submitted work not pass standard
NWS	No work submitted
Defer	Submission of work deferred because of approved extenuating circumstances

Framework and Regulations for Higher National Awards
Version 2.1 (August 2021)

Version: 2.1 (August 2021) Owner: Academic Registrar

17. Each component of summative assessment (i.e. assessment used to indicate the extent

of a student's success in achieving the intended learning outcomes of the unit) will be assessed

in order to determine whether the student has achieved all allocated outcomes against the

specified assessment criteria. A grade of pass or above is only awarded if students have

satisfied all the Pass criteria for the learning outcomes, showing coverage of the unit content

and therefore attainment at Level 4 or 5 of the national framework.

18. Each unit will provide students with opportunities to demonstrate the relevant merit and

distinction grade criteria at first attempt. To be awarded a merit for a unit overall, a student must

have satisfied all the Merit criteria (and the Pass criteria) through high performance in each

learning outcome. To be awarded a distinction for a unit overall, a student must have satisfied

all the Distinction criteria (and the Pass and Merit criteria), and these define outstanding

performance across the unit as a whole.

19. Where students have passed a unit, they cannot re-take it in an attempt to achieve a

higher grade.

Late submission

20. Students should submit all work for summative assessment by the notified deadline.

21. Work submitted up to three days after the deadline will be accepted and marked, but the

mark will be capped at Pass unless there is a valid reason for the late submission (i.e. having

been granted an extension to the deadline or a deferral under the terms of the Extenuating

Circumstances Policy).

22. Work submitted more than three days after the deadline without valid reason will not be

accepted and will be recorded as no work submitted in accordance with paragraph 26.

23. Where an extension has been agreed under the terms of the Extenuating Circumstances

Policy, no late submission will be permitted beyond the agreed extension period.

24. Late submission is not possible for some types of assessment, including pass/fail

assessments, presentations, examinations and practical assessments. This will be indicated in

the course handbook.

25. Late submission is not permitted for work that is already subject to capping at Pass (for

example reassessments in accordance with paragraphs 29 and 31).

Framework and Regulations for Higher National Awards Version: 2.1 (August 2021)

Owner: Academic Registrar

Non-completion of assessment (leading to referral and reassessment)

26. Students must submit all work for summative assessment by the notified deadline.

Failure to submit work by the deadline without valid reason (i.e. without having requested and

been granted an extension to the deadline or having presented acceptable extenuating

circumstances under the terms of the Extenuating Circumstances Policy) will result in the term

NWS (no work submitted) being recorded for the relevant component of assessment and will

result in referral in the unit overall.

27. Where students, in the opinion of the Assessment Board, fail to attend an examination

without demonstrating a valid reason in accordance with the terms of the Extenuating

Circumstances Policy, the term NWS (no work submitted) will be recorded for the relevant

component of assessment and will result in referral in the unit overall.

Failure to pass a unit (leading to referral and reassessment)

28. In cases of non-completion of coursework or non-attendance at examinations, the

student will have the opportunity to be reassessed once only in the components not completed.

In such cases, for coursework this reassessment will be for the same assignment brief, whilst in

the case of examinations this will be for a different examination than employed for the first

attempt.

29. Where a student submits work but fails to achieve all associated learning outcomes, the

overall unit outcome will be recorded as a referral and the student will have the opportunity to be

reassessed once only in those components of assessment not passed. The overall unit grade

will be capped at Pass.

30. Opportunities to demonstrate distinction and merit grade criteria will not be provided

through the reassessment process.

Failure in a unit (after a reassessment opportunity)

31. If, after the reassessment opportunity, the student does not achieve all associated

learning outcomes, the student will be deemed to have failed the unit. In such circumstances,

the relevant Assessment Board would normally permit the student to retake the unit with

attendance one further time, subject to the student having demonstrated sufficient engagement

with their studies. The student will have to complete all assessments for the unit, and will be

offered the standard submission and resubmission opportunities outlined in paragraphs 28 and

29 above. The overall unit grade will be capped at a Pass.

Framework and Regulations for Higher National Awards

Version: 2.1 (August 2021)

Page 5 of 7

Deferral in a unit

32. Consideration of deferral cases is undertaken in accordance with the Extenuating

Circumstances Policy.

33. If the Extenuating Circumstances Panel deems that a student has presented acceptable

evidence of extenuating circumstances in relation to one or more components of assessment,

those components of assessment will be deemed "deferred" and the student will be given the

opportunity to present (or re-present) those assessment(s) without penalty (i.e. the same range

of grades will be available). The relevant Assessment Board will determine the timescale for

presentation/re-presentation of the component(s) in question.

34. If a student is submitting a referred piece of work but extenuating circumstances are

presented and accepted by the Extenuating Circumstances Panel, the student will be given a

further opportunity to re-present the assessment, under the terms of the original referral.

35. Continuation or repetition of deferral status will be considered only if the relevant

Assessment Board is advised by the Extenuating Circumstances Panel that it has received what

it deems to be further acceptable evidence of extenuating circumstances.

Notification of results

36. In line with the good practice of providing timely feedback to students, the provisional

outcomes of marked and moderated assessment may be given to students before the

Assessment Board has formally approved results. Such feedback must be clearly identified as

subject to final ratification by the Assessment Board, and therefore subject to potential

amendment by the Board.

37. The early release of unratified marks is to facilitate an early opportunity for students to

begin to address referred work that will need to be redeemed after the Assessment Board has

ratified the result. It is not an opportunity for students to resubmit referred work as a first attempt

before the Board ratifies the mark.

38. The results agreed by the Assessment Board will be reported to Pearson in a timely

manner.

Qualification Grade

39. HNC and HND awards may be made 'with merit' or 'with distinction', the criteria for which

is set out in the relevant Pearson BTEC Programme Specification. The overall qualification

grade will be determined by Pearson.

Framework and Regulations for Higher National Awards Version: 2.1 (August 2021)

Academic appeals

10. Students have a right to appeal against decisions of the Assessment Board in

accordance with the Academic Appeals Policy which is available, together with appeal forms, on

MySuffolk.

41. Any appeal should be made within fifteen working days of the date of written publication

of results by the relevant Assessment Board.

Academic misconduct

42. Allegations of academic misconduct, including cheating and plagiarism, will be dealt with

as outlined in the Academic Misconduct Policy. In the first instance, all allegations will be

reported to the Academic Registrar. In cases where investigations into allegations of academic

misconduct are ongoing, Assessment Boards will record a deferred decision (DD) grade in

respect of any component grades implicated in the allegations, and in respect of the units which

the component(s) form part.

Framework and Regulations for Higher National Awards Version: 2.1 (August 2021)

Owner: Academic Registrar

Page 7 of 7